Dissemination of TIMSS 2007 as a trend study - Japanese Experiences -

Ryo Watanabe
Advisor to the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan

5 – 8 October 2009
Tallinn University, Estonia
Outline of topics

1. An overview – Japan and IEA/TIMSS
   * Participation in TIMSS since its very beginning

2. How the results of TIMSS2007 were reported in Japan
   * Which key features of TIMSS2007 did we focus on

3. How we have interpreted the performance of Japanese students in major assessments
   * Comprehensive analysis of Japanese students’ performance using the results of major surveys

4. IEA/TIMSS for Japan
   * Collaboration with other assessments
1. An overview – Japan and IEA/TIMSS
Dissemination of TIMSS 2007 as a trend study - Japanese Experiences -

School System in Japan
National Institute for Educational Policy Research (NIER) joined IEA in 1961 as a member institute in Japan.

Japan has participated in all the math and science studies conducted by IEA, including……

* First International Mathematics Study (FIMS), 1964
* First International Science Study (FISS), 1970
* Second International Mathematics Study (SIMS), 1981
* Second International Science Study (SISS), 1983
* Third International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS), 1995
* Third International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS-R), 1999
* Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS2003)
* Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS2007)
## Trends of Japanese Students’ Performance -Mathematics-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>4th grade (elementary school)</th>
<th>8th grade (lower secondary school)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First International Math Study</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>2nd place among 12 countries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIMS 1964</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second International Math Study</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>1st place among 20 countries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIMS 1981</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third International Math and</td>
<td>3rd place among 26 countries</td>
<td>3rd place among 41 countries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science Study (TIMSS) 1995</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIMSS-R 1999</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>5th place among 38 countries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIMSS 2003</td>
<td>3rd place among 25 countries</td>
<td>5th place among 46 countries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIMSS 2007</td>
<td>4th place among 36 countries</td>
<td>5th place among 48 countries</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Trends of Japanese Students’ Performance -Science-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>4th grade (elementary school)</th>
<th>8th grade (lower secondary school)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First International Science Study (FISS) 1970</td>
<td>1st place among 16 countries</td>
<td>1st place among 18 countries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second International Science Study (SISS) 1983</td>
<td>1st place among 19 countries</td>
<td>2nd place among 26 countries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third International Math and Science Study (TIMSS) 1995</td>
<td>2nd place among 26 countries</td>
<td>3rd place among 41 countries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIMSS-R 1999</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>4th place among 38 countries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIMSS 2003</td>
<td>3rd place among 25 countries</td>
<td>6th place among 46 countries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIMSS 2007</td>
<td>4th place among 36 countries</td>
<td>3rd place among 48 countries</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. How the results of TIMSS 2007 were reported in Japan
On 2 December 2008, the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports and Science and Technology (MEXT) held a closed press conference for releasing the results of TIMSS2007 in Japan.

At the press conference, MEXT reported major findings: Japanese students’ performance, their attitude to learning and learning environment.

Under the supervision of the MEXT press club, the reporters confidentially prepared their articles for newspapers as well as TVs to be released on 10 December 2008 after the embargo lifted.
A Summary of the results which MEXT reported to the media …

- National Institute for Educational Policy Research (NIER) provided the data of TIMSS2007 to MEXT and supported MEXT’s arrangement for the national dissemination of the results. A brief summary of the results is available on the homepages of MEXT as well as NIER…..but they are in Japanese only!!
国際数学・理科教育動向調査（TIMSS2007）のポイント

【調査概要】
○児童生徒の算数・数学、理科の到達度を国際的な尺度によって測定し、児童生徒の学習環境等との関係を明らかにする。
○国際教育到達度評価学会（IEA）が、日本では小学4年生、中学2年を対象に2007年3月に実施。

【結果概要】
○平均得点はすべて前回以上。
○前回調査から調査参加国が増加した（小:25→36カ国）が、国際的に見て上位を維持。

【教科別の結果】（平均得点:全生徒の平均得点を500点、全生徒の平均得点を400点から600点に入るように標準化した各国の得点）

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>小学校</th>
<th>2007年調査結果（36カ国）</th>
<th>前回（2003年）の調査結果（25カ国）</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>算数</td>
<td>568点（4位）</td>
<td>565点（3位）</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>理科</td>
<td>548点（4位）</td>
<td>543点（3位）</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>中学校</th>
<th>2007年調査結果（48カ国）</th>
<th>前回（2003年）の調査結果（46カ国）</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>数学</td>
<td>570点（5位）</td>
<td>570点（5位）</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>理科</td>
<td>554点（3位）</td>
<td>552点（6位）</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

（※ただし、2007年調査結果はいずれの教科も平均得点はすべて前回以上であるが、統計上の誤差を考慮すると前回同程度となる。）

【わが国の児童生徒の特徴】
算数・数学、理科に対する意識等については、
• 勉強が楽しいと思う割合は、前回調査と比べ、小学生では増加傾向が見られ、特に理科で国際平均を上回ったが、中学生は国際的に見て数学・理科ともに依然低い。
• 希望の職業に就くために良い成績を取る思う中学生は、国際的にみて依然として少ないが、前回調査と比べて数学・理科ともに増加傾向。

学校外での時間の過ごし方については、
• 依然として宿題をする時間が短く、テレビやビデオを見る時間が長く、家の手伝いをする時間が短い。
• 小学生の宿題をする時間は増加傾向。
TIMSS2007

* TIMSS has an aim to measure and cross nationally compare math and science achievement of students.

* The assessment was conducted in March 2007 targeted 4th grade and 8th grade students in Japan.

Japanese students’ results of TIMSS2007

* Mean scores are higher than TIMSS2003.

* Japanese students still belong to a top group internationally, even though the number of countries increased since TIMSS2003.
### Mean scores of Japanese students by grade and subject

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>TIMSS2007 (countries)</th>
<th>TIMSS2003 (countries)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4th</td>
<td>Math</td>
<td>568 points (4th place)</td>
<td>565 points (3rd place)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Science</td>
<td>548 points (4th place)</td>
<td>543 points (3rd place)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th</td>
<td>Math</td>
<td>570 points (5th place)</td>
<td>570 points (5th place)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Science</td>
<td>554 points (3rd place)</td>
<td>552 points (6th place)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Key features of Japanese students**

* Their attitudes toward Math and Science………
  a. 4\textsuperscript{th} grade students who reported “I enjoy learning math/science” are increasing, and especially above the international average in the case of science.
  b. On the other hand, 8\textsuperscript{th} grade students who reported “I enjoy learning math/science” are still few.
  c. 8\textsuperscript{th} grade students who reported “I need to do well in science to get the job I want” are few, but the percentage of those is slightly increasing compared to TIMSS2003.

* How much of their out-of-school time do students spend during a school week………
  a. They spend less time on doing their homework, much time on watching TV and video, and less time on doing jobs at home.
  b. But 4\textsuperscript{th} grade students spend more time on doing homework than before.
What did the Japanese media say about the results of TIMSS2007 ........
On 10 December 2007, the media reported like this: “2\textsuperscript{nd} grade students of lower secondary schools in Japan ranked 3\textsuperscript{rd} in the world by the international test. The Ministry said that the decline of academic ability is ceased. But many Japanese students still do not enjoy learning.”

The results of TIMSS2007 were not much featured on newspapers and TV, if we compared to TIMSS2003.

This is because the results were not fascinated by the media. (The TIMSS2003 results were released almost at the same time with the results of PISA2003, so the media naturally gave attention to TIMSS as well as PISA in order to attract a nationwide attention on the issue of academic achievement.)
When the results of PISA2003 and TIMSS2003 were released in 2004……

- December 2004, the results of both PISA2003 and TIMSS2003 acted as a trigger to public debate on the question of student competencies.

- Most of the Japanese newspapers carried headlines on the lines of “We knew it: Decline of Achievement”, and many focused on individual country rankings as if they were medal rankings in the Olympic Games.

- The Minister at that time was not satisfied with the results of PISA2003 and decided to launch a new National Assessment of Student Performance as a census survey.
3. How we have interpreted the performance of Japanese students in major assessments
Mathematics performance of Japanese Students: From the results of major assessments

【15-year-olds】
(1st grade of upper secondary schools)

- 1996: PISA 2000
  - 1st place among 32 countries, ranked top internationally.
  - No statistically significant difference.

- 2003: PISA 2003
  - 6th place among 41 countries.
  - Top group internationally, but Minister said “we are not at the top of the world”.

- 2006: PISA 2006
  - Statistically significantly dropped.
  - 10th place among 57 countries.

【2nd grade of lower secondary schools】

- 1999: TIMSS-R 1999
  - 5th place among 38 countries.
  - Statistically significantly dropped.

- 2003: TIMSS 2003
  - 5th place among 46 countries.
  - Minister said “we are not at the top of the world”.

  - Mean score higher than TIMSS 2003.
  - 5th place among 48 countries.

1994, 1995 (lower secondary school)

- National Curriculum Assessment
  - Average percentage correct: 69.6%

【1st to 3rd grades of lower secondary schools】

- 2001
  - National Curriculum Assessment
  - Average percentage correct of the common items dropped.
  - 65.7%

- 2003
  - National Curriculum Assessment
  - 66.4%

1st grade students show significant decline of average percentage correct of the common items.
The decline of academic ability is ceased.

National Assessment of Student Performance

Academic ability is not declined overall.
As a whole, percentage correct of the common items are higher than previous National Curriculum Assessments.
Application of skills and knowledge is not enough.
Science performance of Japanese students: From the results of major assessments

1994, 1995 (lower secondary school)

National Curriculum Assessment

(Average percentage correct) 61.2%

1st to 3rd grade of lower secondary schools

1994, 1995 (lower secondary school)

National Curriculum Assessment

2001

National Curriculum Assessment

59.9%

2003

National Curriculum Assessment

62.1%

The decline of academic ability is ceased.

Average percentage correct of the common items slightly increased.
4. IEA/TIMSS for Japan
Educational stakeholders such as teachers, parents and policy makers have now recognized that they need more evidence to make Japanese education better.

IEA studies have made important contributions to Japanese education, especially for curriculum reform.

PISA is now given more attention by the Japanese people as it provides new insights into educational reform.

TIMSS and PISA can play a pivotal role in improving education not only in Japan but also in the world, if they can complement each other their strengths and weaknesses.
Thank you!