

EXPLORE THE RESEARCH

Assor, A., Kaplan, H., & Roth, G. (2002). Choice is good, but relevance is excellent: Autonomy-enhancing and suppressing teacher behaviours predicting students' engagement in schoolwork. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 72, 261–278.

<https://doi.org/10.1348/000709902158883>

Chinn, C. A., Anderson, R. C., & Waggoner, M. A. (2001). Patterns of discourse in two kinds of literature discussion. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 36(4), 378–411. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/748057?seq=1>

Guthrie, J.T., & Wigfield, A. (2017). Literacy engagement and motivation: Rationale, research, teaching, and assessment. In D. Lapp, & F. Douglas (Eds.), *Handbook of research on teaching the English language arts*, Chapter 3, pp. 57-84. London, Routledge.

<https://doi/10.4324/9781315650555>

Katz, I., & Assor, A. (2006). When choice motivates and when it does not. *Educational Psychology Review*, 19:4, 429–442.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-006-9027-y>

Schunk, D., & Zimmerman, B. J. (2007). Influencing children's self-efficacy and self-regulation of reading and writing through modelling. *Reading and Writing Quarterly*, 23:1, 7–25.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10573560600837578>

Taboada Barber, A., & Klauda, S. L. (2020). How Reading Motivation and Engagement Enable Reading Achievement: Policy Implications. *Policy Insights from the Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 7:1, 27–34.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/2372732219893385>

Vansteenkiste, M., Lens, W., & Deci, E. L. (2006). Intrinsic versus extrinsic goal contents in self-determination theory: Another look at the quality of academic motivation. *Educational Psychologist*, 41:1, 19–31.

https://doi.org/10.1207/s15326985ep4101_4

Wigfield, A., Guthrie, J. T., Tonks, S. M., & Perencevich, K. C. (2004). Children's motivation for reading: Domain specificity and instructional influences. *The Journal of Educational Research*, 97:6, 299–310.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.3200/JOER.97.6.299-310>